

Erratum to  
 PhD thesis "Théorie Homotopique Motivique des espaces non-commutatifs"  
 &  
 Article published Advances in Mathematics, "K-Theory and the bridge from  
 Motives to non-commutative Motives"

Marco Robalo

The author thanks M. Hoyois for pointing out the error in [3, Lemma 6.4.20]/[4, 3.25] and for several helpful remarks and suggestions.

**Description of the Error:**

The proof of [3, Lemma 6.4.20]/[4, 3.25] is wrong. As a consequence the conclusion of [3, 6.4.1.9]/[4, 3.24] that  $\mathcal{H}_{nc}$  is stable, is unclear. Although we have the semi-orthogonal decomposition of  $\text{Perf}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ , it does not follow that the fiber of  $\infty^*$  is  $\text{Perf}(k)$  before we invert the topological circle. In this case, **we are forced to change the definition of  $\text{SH}_{nc}$  all over [3]/[4] as the  $S^1$ -stabilization of  $\mathcal{H}_{nc}$ .** After forcing this stabilization  $\text{Perf}(\mathbb{P}^1)$  becomes a direct sum of two copies of  $\text{Perf}(k)$  and it is clear that  $\infty^*$  splits this sum and that the non-commutative motive of  $(\mathbb{P}^1, \infty)$  is  $\text{Perf}(k)$ .

**Consequences of the Error:**

The error of [3, Lemma 6.4.20]/[4, 3.25] affects exclusively the proof of [3, Thm 7.0.32]/[4, Thm 4.7] in [3, Section 7.4]/[4, Section 4.5].

**Correction: Proof of [3, Thm 7.0.32]/[4, Thm 4.7] avoiding [3, Lemma 6.4.20]/[4, 3.25]. This replaces [3, Section 7.4]/[4, Section 4.5].**

Recall  $\text{Fun}_{Nis}(\mathcal{D}g(k)^{ft}, \mathcal{S})$  has a zero object by construction. We show that:

**Proposition 0.1.** *There is a canonical equivalence in  $\text{Fun}_{Nis}(\mathcal{D}g(k)^{ft}, \mathcal{S})$*

$$\Sigma_{Nis} j(\text{Perf}(k)) := l_{Nis} \Sigma^{naive} j(\text{Perf}(k)) \simeq l_{Nis}(\text{colim}_{n \in \Delta^{op}} \text{Seq}_n)$$

where  $\text{Seq}$  is the simplicial object of [3, Rmk 7.4.3]/[4, Rmk 4.44] giving the Waldhausen's  $S$ -construction of [3, Construction 7.1.2]/[4, Construction 4.14]. Moreover,  $\Sigma_{Nis}^N(\text{Perf}(k))$  identifies with the Nisnevich localization of the  $N$ -iterated Waldhausen's construction.

*Proof.* For each  $n \geq 0$  we have short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{D}g(k)^{idem}$  which are also pullbacks, given as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{[n-1]}_k & \xrightarrow{V_n} & \widehat{[n]}_k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow U_n \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{[0]}_k \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} V_n : \underline{0} \mapsto \underline{1}/\underline{0}, \quad \underline{1} \mapsto \underline{2}/\underline{0}, \dots, \underline{n-1} \mapsto \underline{n}/\underline{0} \\ U_n : \underline{0} \mapsto \underline{0}, \underline{1} \mapsto \underline{0}, \dots, \underline{n} \mapsto \underline{0} \end{array} \quad (0.1)$$

The maps in the sequence admit retracts  $W_n$  and  $S_n$  with  $W_n \circ V_n = Id$  and  $U_n \circ S_n = Id$ , given by  $S_n : \underline{0} \mapsto \underline{0}, \underline{1} \mapsto \underline{0}, \dots, \underline{n} \mapsto \underline{n-1}$ . Here  $\underline{i}$  denotes the object of  $[n-1]$ . This notation is to make the distinction between  $\underline{0}$  and the zero object  $0$ . To check that (0.1) are exact one checks directly using Yoneda that  $V_n$  is fully faithful with essential image given by the full thick subcategory  $\langle \underline{1}/\underline{0}, \dots, \underline{n}/\underline{0} \rangle \subseteq \widehat{[n]}_k$  spanned by the quotients  $\underline{1}/\underline{0}, \dots, \underline{n}/\underline{0}$ . Moreover, one checks that  $U_n$  has the universal property of the Verdier quotient  $\widehat{[n]}_k / \langle \underline{1}/\underline{0}, \dots, \underline{n}/\underline{0} \rangle$ . Indeed, to give an dg-functor  $\widehat{[n]}_k \rightarrow T$  such that all the objects  $\underline{1}/\underline{0}, \dots, \underline{n}/\underline{0}$  go to zero, as the functor is exact and the categories are stable, it follows that the maps  $t_0 \rightarrow t_1, \dots, t_0 \rightarrow t_n$  are equivalences in  $T$ . In this case the map is determined by the image of  $t_0 = t_1 = \dots = t_n$ . The claim that the diagrams (0.1) are also pullbacks follows from [3, Corollary 2.1.12]/[4, Cor 1.18] because each  $\widehat{[n-1]}_k$  has a compact generator  $(\underline{0} \oplus \dots \oplus \underline{n-1})$ . By definition, we obtain Nisnevich diagrams of non-commutative spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Perf}(k) = \widehat{[0]}_k & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ U_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{[n]}_k & \xrightarrow{V_n} & \widehat{[n-1]}_k \end{array} \quad (0.2)$$

in  $\text{NcS}(k)$ . Now, as in [2, §3.3] and [5, §1.5] we remark that the exact sequences (0.1) are, as  $n$  varies, part of a co-simplicial diagrams in  $\mathcal{D}g(k)^{idem}$  and therefore, simplicial diagram in  $\text{NcS}(k)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Perf}(k) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \text{constant dia.} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{[n]}_k & \xrightarrow{V_n} & \text{Seq}_\bullet \\ P\text{Seq}_\bullet & & \end{array} \quad (0.3)$$

Finally, composition with Yoneda  $j : \mathcal{NcS}(k) \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{Dg}(k)^{ft}, \mathcal{S})$  we obtain a sequence of simplicial objects  $j(\text{Perf}(k)) \rightarrow j(\text{PSeq}_\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Seq}_\bullet$

where  $\text{Seq}_\bullet$  is the simplicial object giving Waldhausen's S-construction explained in [3, Rmk 7.4.3]/[4, Rmk 4.44] giving the Waldhausen's S-construction of [3, Const. 7.1.2]/[4, Const. 4.14]. As explained in [5, Lemma 1.5.1] the simplicial object  $\text{PSeq}_\bullet$  is simplicially homotopy equivalent to the constant associated to the zero dg-category and therefore, it is contractible. In this case we obtain a sequence,

$$j(\text{Perf}(k)) \rightarrow |\text{PSeq}_\bullet| := \text{colim}_{\Delta^{op}} j(\text{PSeq}_\bullet) \simeq * \rightarrow |\text{Seq}_\bullet| := \text{colim}_{\Delta^{op}} \text{Seq}_\bullet$$

which, because of the Nisnevich localization (i) forces each level of (0.2) to become pushouts, (ii) forces the zero dg-category to remain a zero object and (iii) preserves sifted colimits, induces a pushout square in Nisnevich sheaves of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j(\text{Perf}(k)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & l_{Nis}(|\text{Seq}_\bullet|) \end{array} \quad (0.4)$$

which exhibits  $l_{Nis}(|\text{Seq}_\bullet|)$  as the (Nisnevich local) suspension of  $\text{Perf}(k)$ . This concludes the proof of the first claim. For the iterated S-construction, notice that

$$\Sigma_{Nis} \Sigma_{Nis}(\text{Perf}(k)) \simeq \Sigma_{Nis} \text{colim}_{\Delta^{op}} \text{Seq}_n = \Sigma_{Nis} \text{colim}_{\Delta^{op}} j([n-1]_k) \simeq l_{Nis} \text{colim}_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma_{Nis} j([n-1]_k)$$

and that using the fact that the category of Nisnevich sheaves of spaces has a zero object (by convention) and the monoidal structure is compatible with colimits in each variable, we have  $\Sigma_{Nis} j([n-1]_k) \simeq (\Sigma_{Nis} j([n-1]_k)) \otimes j(\text{Perf}(k)) \simeq j([n-1]) \otimes \Sigma_{Nis} \text{Perf}(k)$ , and in the continuation of the chain of equivalences above, we obtain

$$\simeq l_{Nis} \text{colim}_{(n,m) \in \Delta^{op} \otimes \Delta^{op}} j([n-1]) \otimes j([m-1]) = l_{Nis} |\text{Seq}_\bullet|$$

This is exactly the formula for the 2-iterated S-construction of Waldhausen. We conclude by induction.  $\square$

**Corollary 0.2.** ([3, Thm 7.0.32]/[4, Thm 4.7]) *We have an equivalence in  $\text{Fun}_{Nis}(\mathcal{Dg}(k)^{ft}, \mathcal{S}^p)$*

$$\Sigma_{Nis}^\infty j(\text{Perf}(k)) = K^S.$$

*In particular, after forcing  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariance we have an equivalence between the unit non-commutative motive and  $l_{\mathbb{A}^1}(K^S) = KH$  in  $\mathcal{SH}_{nc}$*

*Proof.* By definition [5] the presheaf of spectra given connective K-theory spectrum  $K_{Spec}^C$  is represented by the sequence of presheaves of spaces given by the iterated S-construction,  $(j(\text{Perf}(k)), |\text{Seq}_\bullet|, |\text{Seq}_\bullet, \bullet|, \dots)$  which thanks to Waldhausen's additivity theorem is an  $\Omega$ -spectrum for  $n \geq 1$ . As a presheaf of spectra it is equivalent to the filtered colimit in  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{Dg}(k)^{ft}, \mathcal{S}^p)$  given by  $\text{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n \Sigma^\infty |\text{Seq}_\bullet, \dots, \bullet|$ . Now we

compute  $l_{Nis}(K_{Spec}^C)$ . Because of [3, Thm. 7.0.29]/[4, Thm. 4.4] we know that  $l_{Nis}(K_{Spec}^C) = K^S$ . But the formula above combined with Prop. 0.1 gives also (because  $l_{Nis}$  for presheaves of spectra is exact and commutes with colimits)

$$\begin{aligned} l_{Nis}(K_{Spec}^C) &= l_{Nis} \text{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n \Sigma^\infty |\text{Seq}_\bullet, \dots, \bullet| \simeq \text{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n \Sigma_{Nis}^\infty \Sigma_{Nis}^n j(\text{Perf}(k)) \simeq \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_n \Omega^n \Sigma_{Nis}^n \Sigma_{Nis}^\infty j(\text{Perf}(k)) \simeq \Sigma_{Nis}^\infty j(\text{Perf}(k)) \end{aligned}$$

We obtain [3, Thm 7.0.32]/[4, Theorem 4.7] by forcing  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariance.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A Blumberg, D Gepner, and G Tabuada. *A Universal Characterization of Higher Algebraic K-Theory*.
- [2] Randy McCarthy. The cyclic homology of an exact category. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 93(3):251–296, 1994.
- [3] Marco Robalo. Théorie homotopique motivique des espaces noncommutatifs. *PhD Thesis, Université de Montpellier 2*, 2014.
- [4] Marco Robalo. K-theory and the bridge from motives to noncommutative motives. *Adv. Math.*, 269:399–550, 2015.
- [5] Friedhelm Waldhausen. Algebraic K-theory of spaces. In *Algebraic and geometric topology (New Brunswick, N.J., 1983)*, volume 1126 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 318–419. Springer, Berlin, 1985.