

Erratum to
 PhD thesis "Théorie Homotopique Motivique des espaces non-commutatifs"
 &
 Article published *Advances in Mathematics*, "K-Theory and the bridge from
 Motives to non-commutative Motives"

Marco Robalo

The author thanks M. Hoyois for pointing out the error in [3, Lemma 6.4.20]/[4, 3.25] and for several helpful remarks and suggestions.

Description of the Error:

The proof of [3, Lemma 6.4.20]/[4, 3.25] is wrong. As a consequence the conclusion of [3, 6.4.1.9]/[4, 3.24] that \mathcal{H}_{nc} is stable, is unclear. Although we have the semi-orthogonal decomposition of $\text{Perf}(\mathbb{P}^1)$, it does not follow that the fiber of ∞^* is $\text{Perf}(k)$ before we invert the topological circle. In this case, **we are forced to change the definition of SH_{nc} all over [3]/[4] as the S^1 -stabilization of \mathcal{H}_{nc} .** After forcing this stabilization $\text{Perf}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ becomes a direct sum of two copies of $\text{Perf}(k)$ and it is clear that ∞^* splits this sum and that the non-commutative motive of (\mathbb{P}^1, ∞) is $\text{Perf}(k)$.

Consequences of the Error:

The error of [3, Lemma 6.4.20]/[4, 3.25] affects exclusively the proof of [3, Thm 7.0.32]/[4, Thm 4.7] in [3, Section 7.4]/[4, Section 4.5].

Correction: Proof of [3, Thm 7.0.32]/[4, Thm 4.7] avoiding [3, Lemma 6.4.20]/[4, 3.25]. This replaces [3, Section 7.4]/[4, Section 4.5].

Recall $\text{Fun}_{Nis}(\mathcal{D}g(k)^{ft}, \mathcal{S})$ has a zero object by construction. We show that:

Proposition 0.1. *There is a canonical equivalence in $\text{Fun}_{Nis}(\mathcal{D}g(k)^{ft}, \mathcal{S})$*

$$\Sigma_{Nis} j(\text{Perf}(k)) := l_{Nis} \Sigma^{naive} j(\text{Perf}(k)) \simeq l_{Nis}(\text{colim}_{n \in \Delta^{op}} \text{Seq}_n)$$

where Seq is the simplicial object of [3, Rmk 7.4.3]/[4, Rmk 4.44] giving the Waldhausen's S -construction of [3, Construction 7.1.2]/[4, Construction 4.14]. Moreover, $\Sigma_{Nis}^N(\text{Perf}(k))$ identifies with the Nisnevich localization of the N -iterated Waldhausen's construction.

Proof. For each $n \geq 0$ we have short exact sequence in $\mathcal{D}g(k)^{idem}$ which are also pullbacks, given as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{[n-1]}_k & \xrightarrow{V_n} & \widehat{[n]}_k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow U_n \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{[0]}_k \end{array} \qquad V_n : \underline{0} \mapsto \underline{1}/0, \quad \underline{1} \mapsto \underline{2}/0, \dots, \underline{n-1} \mapsto \underline{n}/0 \qquad (0.1)$$

$$U_n : \underline{0} \mapsto 0, \quad \underline{1} \mapsto 0, \dots, \underline{n} \mapsto 0$$

The maps in the sequence admit retracts W_n and S_n with $W_n \circ V_n = Id$ and $U_n \circ S_n = Id$, given by $S_n : \underline{0} \mapsto \underline{0}$ and $W_n : \underline{0} \mapsto 0, \underline{1} \mapsto 0, \dots, \underline{n} \mapsto \underline{n-1}$. Here \underline{i} denotes the object of $[n-1]$. This notation is to make the distinction between $\underline{0}$ and the zero object 0 . To check that (0.1) are exact one checks directly using Yoneda that V_n is fully faithful with essential image given by the full thick subcategory $\langle \underline{1}/0, \dots, \underline{n}/0 \rangle \subseteq \widehat{[n]}_k$ spanned by the quotients $\underline{1}/0, \dots, \underline{n}/0$. Moreover, one checks that U_n has the universal property of the Verdier quotient $\widehat{[n]}_k / \langle \underline{1}/0, \dots, \underline{n}/0 \rangle$. Indeed, to give an dg-functor $\widehat{[n]}_k \rightarrow T$ such that all the objects $\underline{1}/0, \dots, \underline{n}/0$ go to zero, as the functor is exact and the categories are stable, it follows that the maps $t_0 \rightarrow t_1, \dots, t_0 \rightarrow t_n$ are equivalences in T . In this case the map is determined by the image of $t_0 = t_1 = \dots = t_n$. The claim that the diagrams (0.1) are also pullbacks follows from [3, Corollary 2.1.12]/[4, Cor 1.18] because each $\widehat{[n-1]}_k$ has a compact generator $(\underline{0} \oplus \dots \oplus \underline{n-1})$. By definition, we obtain Nisnevich diagrams of non-commutative spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Perf}(k) = \widehat{[0]}_k & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ U_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{[n]}_k & \xrightarrow{V_n} & \widehat{[n-1]}_k \end{array} \qquad (0.2)$$

in $\text{NcS}(k)$. Now, as in [2, §3.3] and [5, §1.5] we remark that the exact sequences (0.1) are, as n varies, part of a co-simplicial diagrams in $\mathcal{D}g(k)^{idem}$ and therefore, simplicial diagram in $\text{NcS}(k)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Perf}(k) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \text{constant dia.} & & \downarrow \\ U_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{[n]}_k & \xrightarrow{V_n} & \text{Seq}_\bullet \\ \text{PSeq}_\bullet & & \end{array} \qquad (0.3)$$

Finally, composition with Yoneda $j : \mathcal{NcS}(k) \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{Dg}(k)^{ft}, \mathcal{S})$ we obtain a sequence of simplicial objects $j(\text{Perf}(k)) \rightarrow j(\text{PSeq}_\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Seq}_\bullet$

where Seq_\bullet is the simplicial object giving Waldhausen's S-construction explained in [3, Rmk 7.4.3]/[4, Rmk 4.44] giving the Waldhausen's S-construction of [3, Const. 7.1.2]/[4, Const. 4.14]. As explained in [5, Lemma 1.5.1] the simplicial object PSeq_\bullet is simplicially homotopy equivalent to the constant associated to the zero dg-category and therefore, it is contractible. In this case we obtain a sequence,

$$j(\text{Perf}(k)) \rightarrow |\text{PSeq}_\bullet| := \text{colim}_{\Delta^{op}} j(\text{PSeq}_\bullet) \simeq * \rightarrow |\text{Seq}_\bullet| := \text{colim}_{\Delta^{op}} \text{Seq}_\bullet$$

which, because of the Nisnevich localization (i) forces each level of (0.2) to become pushouts, (ii) forces the zero dg-category to remain a zero object and (iii) preserves sifted colimits, induces a pushout square in Nisnevich sheaves of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j(\text{Perf}(k)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & l_{\text{Nis}}(|\text{Seq}_\bullet|) \end{array} \quad (0.4)$$

which exhibits $l_{\text{Nis}}(|\text{Seq}_\bullet|)$ as the (Nisnevich local) suspension of $\text{Perf}(k)$. This concludes the proof of the first claim. For the iterated S-construction, notice that

$$\Sigma_{\text{Nis}} \Sigma_{\text{Nis}}(\text{Perf}(k)) \simeq \Sigma_{\text{Nis}} \text{colim}_{\Delta^{op}} \text{Seq}_n = \Sigma_{\text{Nis}} \text{colim}_{\Delta^{op}} j([n-1]_k) \simeq l_{\text{Nis}} \text{colim}_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma_{\text{Nis}} j([n-1]_k)$$

and that using the fact that the category of Nisnevich sheaves of spaces has a zero object (by convention) and the monoidal structure is compatible with colimits in each variable, we have $\Sigma_{\text{Nis}} j([n-1]_k) \simeq (\Sigma_{\text{Nis}} j([n-1]_k)) \otimes j(\text{Perf}(k)) \simeq j([n-1]) \otimes \Sigma_{\text{Nis}} \text{Perf}(k)$, and in the continuation of the chain of equivalences above, we obtain

$$\simeq l_{\text{Nis}} \text{colim}_{(n,m) \in \Delta^{op} \otimes \Delta^{op}} j([n-1]) \otimes j([m-1]) = l_{\text{Nis}} |\text{Seq}_\bullet|$$

This is exactly the formula for the 2-iterated S-construction of Waldhausen. We conclude by induction. \square

Corollary 0.2. ([3, Thm 7.0.32]/[4, Thm 4.7]) *We have an equivalence in $\text{Fun}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{Dg}(k)^{ft}, \text{Sp})$*

$$\Sigma_{\text{Nis}}^\infty j(\text{Perf}(k)) = K^S.$$

In particular, after forcing \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance we have an equivalence between the unit non-commutative motive and $l_{\mathbb{A}^1}(K^S) = KH$ in \mathcal{SH}_{nc}

Proof. By definition [5] the presheaf of spectra given connective K-theory spectrum K_{Spec}^C is represented by the sequence of presheaves of spaces given by the iterated S-construction, $(j(\text{Perf}(k)), |\text{Seq}_\bullet|, |\text{Seq}_\bullet, \bullet|, \dots)$ which thanks to Waldhausen's additivity theorem is an Ω -spectrum for $n \geq 1$. As a presheaf of spectra it is equivalent to the filtered colimit in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{Dg}(k)^{ft}, \text{Sp})$ given by $\text{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n \Sigma^\infty \underbrace{|\text{Seq}_\bullet, \dots, \bullet|}_n$. Now we

compute $l_{\text{Nis}}(K_{\text{Spec}}^C)$. Because of [3, Thm. 7.0.29]/[4, Thm. 4.4] we know that $l_{\text{Nis}}(K_{\text{Spec}}^C) = K^S$. But the formula above combined with Prop. 0.1 gives also (because l_{Nis} for presheaves of spectra is exact and commutes with colimits)

$$\begin{aligned} l_{\text{Nis}}(K_{\text{Spec}}^C) &= l_{\text{Nis}} \text{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n \Sigma^\infty \underbrace{|\text{Seq}_\bullet, \dots, \bullet|}_n \simeq \text{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n \Sigma_{\text{Nis}}^\infty \Sigma_{\text{Nis}}^n j(\text{Perf}(k)) \simeq \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_n \Omega^n \Sigma_{\text{Nis}}^n \Sigma_{\text{Nis}}^\infty j(\text{Perf}(k)) \simeq \Sigma_{\text{Nis}}^\infty j(\text{Perf}(k)) \end{aligned}$$

We obtain [3, Thm 7.0.32]/[4, Theorem 4.7] by forcing \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A Blumberg, D Gepner, and G Tabuada. *A Universal Characterization of Higher Algebraic K-Theory*.
- [2] Randy McCarthy. The cyclic homology of an exact category. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 93(3):251–296, 1994.
- [3] Marco Robalo. Théorie homotopique motivique des espaces noncommutatifs. *PhD Thesis, Université de Montpellier 2*, 2014.
- [4] Marco Robalo. K-theory and the bridge from motives to noncommutative motives. *Adv. Math.*, 269:399–550, 2015.
- [5] Friedhelm Waldhausen. Algebraic K-theory of spaces. In *Algebraic and geometric topology (New Brunswick, N.J., 1983)*, volume 1126 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 318–419. Springer, Berlin, 1985.